

OVERVIEW & SCRUTINY COMMITTEE

COUNCIL TAX SUPPORT SCHEME CHALLENGE PANEL

October 2014

1	SUBJECT	Review of the current Council Tax Support Scheme		
2	COMMITTEE	Overview & Scrutiny Committee		
3	REVIEW GROUP	Councillors: Cllr Barry Macleod-Cullinane (Chair) Cllr Paul Osborn Cllr Jerry Miles Cllr Barry Kendler Cllr Pamela Fitzpatrick Co-optees:		
4	AIMS/ OBJECTIVES/ OUTCOMES	 To explore the impact on Harrow's residents of the introduction of the local council tax support scheme and the contribution it may be making to household debt problems To understand how residents affected by the scheme are managing to make their Council Tax payments To consider the findings of the CTS consultation to inform the development of the new scheme To consider other schemes both London wide and nationally in relation to lessons learnt and how the findings can influence the development of the new scheme 		
5	MEASURES OF SUCCESS OF REVIEW	 Understand the impact of the current scheme on Harrow's residents which are then considered to inform the development of the new scheme. To support the development of an informed Council Tax Support Scheme taking into consideration the findings of the review. Recommendations are made which if accepted would help prevent residents falling into severe debt problems. 		
6	SCOPE	The challenge panel will address how the current Council Tax support Scheme affects the residents of Harrow, especially those who are the most vulnerable and families. It will seek to understand how they are managing to make the payments and what the impact of having to make these payments is. Through the challenge panel, it is hoped that the future Council Tax support Scheme is developed taking into consideration the findings of the review to minimise the impact on those affected.		

7	SERVICE PRIORITIES (Corporate/Dept)	This review relates to all four of the Corporate Priorities 2014/15, including: Making a difference for the most vulnerable Making a difference for communities Making a difference for families Making a difference for local businesses		
8	REVIEW SPONSOR	Fern Silverio		
9	ACCOUNTABLE MANAGER	Rachel Gapp, Head of Policy		
10	SUPPORT OFFICER	Mohammed Ilyas, Policy Officer		
11	ADMINISTRATIVE SUPPORT	Policy Team		
12	EXTERNAL INPUT	 The input of the following may be useful for the challenge panel: Stakeholders: Staff involved in the development and delivery of the scheme Relevant corporate director(s) Relevant portfolio holder(s) Benefit recipients affected by the scheme Partner agencies: Charities and voluntary groups assisting and supporting those affected by the scheme Experts/advisers: Representative interest groups, housing associations, tenants and Landlords association 		
13	METHODOLOGY	The challenge panel will involve three phases. A desk-based research phase gathering evidence from various local and national studies, the results of the current CTS consultation exercise, data, and written evidence from partners. The Challenge Panel would also like to receive evidence or case studies from ward Councillors if at all possible. This will then inform the structure and lines of questioning for the Challenge panel itself which will take evidence from key officers, managers, and the Portfolio Holder from the Council and key voluntary sector organisations and housing associations. The report and recommendations will then be written up and submitted to cabinet		
14	EQUALITY IMPLICATIONS	The introduction of the local council tax support scheme has implications on some of the most vulnerable members of the community including those from minority ethnic groups, the elderly		

		care facilities in the borous council tax scheme as resonant tax. The challenge panel will how equality implications policy and practice and changes it recommends.	ed. The local economy, health and social gh are also affected as a result of the sidents have less to spend. consider during the course of its work, have been taken into account in current consider the possible implications of any enge panel, Members will also need to	
		-	es and how it can facilitate all relevant gh to have their voices heard.	
15	ASSUMPTIONS/ CONSTRAINTS	The success of the challenge panel may be dependent on the ability and willingness of officers, partners and stakeholders (as relevant) to participate and contribute fully in this work.		
		Recognising financial reality is another consideration that should be considered as part of the challenge panel.		
16	SECTION 17 IMPLICATIONS	The challenge panel will have regard to the possible community safety implications of any recommended changes to policy or practice.		
17	TIMESCALE	 Agree panel members and scope virtually – Sept O&S 7th Oct agree scope Challenge panel 20-24 Oct Panel agree report by 10th Nov O&S agree report and fwd to Cabinet 18th Nov Cabinet receive report 20th Nov or 11th Dec Response to report at Dec/Jan Cabinet 		
18	RESOURCE COMMITMENTS	To be met from existing scrutiny budget. No significant additional expenditure is anticipated.		
19	REPORT AUTHOR	Mohammed Ilyas, as advised by the review group.		
20	REPORTING ARRANGEMENTS	Outline of formal reporting To Divisional Director	[*] throughout the course of the challenge panel and when developing recommendations and as a witness at the challenge panel	
		To Portfolio Holder To CSB To O&S To Cabinet	 as a witness at the challenge panel and when developing recommendations TBC TBC TBC TBC 	